





#### CCC Business Participation and Membership FRV sought advice on establishing a voluntary CCC in response to community request for regular, open and transparent information. • The CCC was established as a means of sharing information - it is not a decision-making body. Everyone who expressed an interest in the CCC was accepted to the committee. We chose to allow all interested parties to participate in the CCC - FRV won't prevent anyone from accessing information about the solar farm. CCCs traditionally include community representatives with a range of views about the relevant project. • The CCC is just one of many methods that FRV is using to gather feedback from members of the community. The future happens here



Project Status – Environmental Studies

#### Flood modelling and Water Use

- FRV's consultants are currently completing detailed flood modelling for the project.
- This modelling is being conducted to verify that:
  - the project infrastructure won't alter stormwater and flooding conditions on neighbouring properties.
  - The project infrastructure won't be affected by floodwaters.
- The flood modelling assesses different scenarios, including 1 in 100-year flood and the maximum probable water level.
- FRV has committed that:
  - on-site bores will not be used by the solar farm water will be sourced from Council's Bulk Water Dispensing Units
  - road upgrades won't alter water flow along Green Gully or affect surrounding landowners
  - most vegetation will remain on site (including groundcover), preventing changes to stormwater flows and flooding





#### Project Status – Environmental Studies

#### Flooding – Mitigating Risks

- Almost all FRV's sites experience water impacts to some extent
   these are common to solar farms, which tend to be located on flatter lands or floodplains.
- Some FRV sites also currently experience infrequent flood events, where controls are put in place to avoid damage to infrastructure and prevent off-site impacts.
- The accepted approach is to let shallow flood waters travel unimpeded through the site. Sensitive infrastructure like inverter cabinets are raised on earthen pads to prevent inundation
- Our engineers are designing the project to:
  - take local conditions and flood levels into consideration
  - avoiding development on sensitive areas with deep or high velocity floodwaters (particularly more sensitive infrastructure such as inverters, substation and battery)
  - Enable remote operations via FRV's Central Control Room if road closures prevent access, to enable monitoring of equipment or shutdown procedures to be undertaken.



### Aquatic habitat

- Our ecologists have conducted surveys of Middle Creek.
- Common species such as frogs and yabbies were observed.
- Targeted surveys did not identify any threatened fish species. Fish habitat is limited due to the relative lack of permanent pools along the creek.
- FRV values the importance of Middle Creek and the habitat it provides. This will be protected. A buffer will be maintained between development for PV arrays and the creek.
- Two vehicle creek crossings are proposed to be upgraded one along Middle Creek Road and the other an informal crossing within the development footprint. Both would be designed as at-grade, ford style crossings that would not change the level of the creek bed. This will minimise any change to creek flows and protect natural habitat for any fish species









Project Status – Environmental Studies

### Visual Amenity – Landscape and Visual Assessment (LVIA)

- Detailed assessment has been undertaken for 4 private viewpoints (rural residences) and 3 public viewpoints (Harparary Road and Glencoe Road)
- Potential for visual impacts assessed as Low for all surrounding residential viewpoints – this due to favourable topography (flat) and screening by vegetation.
- One public viewpoint (Glencoe Road – PV2) assessed as Moderate impact. Proposed mitigation (landscaping) reduces impact level to Low





















frv The future happens here

#### Visual Amenity

#### Glint and Glare Assessment

- Modelling for solar farms is based on the following factors:
  - The tilt, orientation, and optical properties of the PV modules in the solar array;
  - Sun position over time, taking into account geographic location;
  - The location of sensitive receivers (dwellings, roads, rail, and aviation facilities); and
  - Screening potential of surrounding topography, vegetation and buildings.
- The only receiver to be potentially impacted by glare is the host landowner, and no other properties would be impacted.
- Existing and planted vegetation will further reduce any risks of glare.

23

Project Status – Environmental Studie	S		
Noise			
Construction Noise			
<ul> <li>During construction, works would be limited to:</li> </ul>		Table A2 Common Noise Sources and Their Typical Sound Pressure Levels (SPL), dBA	
– Monday to Friday:	7am – 6pm	Source	Typical Sound Pressure Level
– Saturday:	8am – 1pm	Threshold of pain	140
<ul> <li>Sunday/Public Hols No works</li> </ul>			1722
<ul> <li>Noisy works, such as piling, would be intermittent and completed in sections.</li> </ul>		Jet engine	130
		Hydraulic hammer	120
<ul> <li>At distances of over 1 km, project will comply with relevant construction noise criteria.</li> </ul>		Chainsaw	110
		Industrial workshop	100
<ul> <li>Road traffic noise was assessed for worst case scenario of 8 truck trips per hour, and is compliant with guidelines</li> </ul>		Lawn-mower (operator position)	90
		Heavy traffic (footpath)	80
Operational Noise		Elevated speech	70
<ul> <li>Once operational, noise-generating equipment would include the substation transformer, battery cooling systems and inverters.</li> </ul>		Typical conversation	60
		Ambient suburban environment	40
		Ambient rural environment	30
<ul> <li>The closest dwelling to the substation is over 1.2 km away. Based on modelling it is expected that noise emissions will</li> <li><sup>24</sup> be within acceptable limits under the relevant guidelines.</li> </ul>		Bedroom (night with windows closed)	20
		Threshold of hearing	0



# Fire and Hazard – Risk Assessment

- A Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA) has been undertaken by an experienced risk consultant
- The steps undertaken to prepare the PHA include:
  - Identification of BESS hazards. This analysed potential incident scenarios arising from these hazards and assessed the resulting consequences for people, property, and the environment
  - Estimation of likelihood of hazardous incidents that could have significant consequences
  - Recommendations for controls to mitigate the consequences and reduce the likelihood of potentially hazardous incidents.

The future happens here





Project Status – Environmental Studies

# Aboriginal Cultural Heritage



Left: Survey effort as part of the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage assessment.

- A photo of a possible scar on a tree was presented to the committee. The location was not discussed though if available we can ask the specialists about this feature.
- Culturally modified trees are a feature considered in predictive assessments and in site surveys.
- The identification of scars as Aboriginal cultural heritage items can be difficult. Some forms of natural trauma and early colonial bark extraction create similar scars.
- A guide to scarring on trees and heritage potential is available online. https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/-/media/OEH/Corporate-Site/Documents/Aboriginalcultural-heritage/aboriginal-scarred-trees-in-new-southwales-field-manual-050054.pdf















